

CCBC - Equality Impact Assessment Screening Form

This completed form must be appended to any report being submitted for a decision if it determines that a full Equality Impact Assessment is not required

SECTION 1	
Which service	area and directorate are you from?
Service Area:	Strategic Planning

Directorate: Communities

For the majority of these questions, you can tick more than one box as more than one option may be relevant

Q1(a) WHAT ARE YOU SCREENING FOR RELEVANCE?					
Service/Function	Policy/Procedure	Project	Strategy	Plan	Proposal

Q1(b) Please name and <u>describe</u> here: (Press F1 for guidance – top row on keyboard)

Regional Technical Statement for the South Wales Regional Aggregate Working Party (SWRAWP), Second Review. The RTS provides strategic recommendations which guide future levels of provisions of construction aggregates on a sub-regional and local authority basis. It is required by national planning policy in the form of Minerals Technical Advice Note (MTAN) 1.

Q2(a) WHAT DOES Q1a RELATE TO?				
Direct front line service	Indirect front line service	Indirect back room service		
delivery (High)	delivery (Medium)	delivery (Low)		

Q2(b) DO YOUR CUSTOMERS/CLIENTS ACCESS THIS?				
Because they need to	Because they have to	Because it is automatically provided to everyone in the county borough	On an internal basis i.e. staff	
(High)	(Medium)	(Medium)	(Low)	

Q3 WHAT IS THE POTENTIAL IMPACT ON THE FOLLOWING... High, Medium and Low do not mean the same as positive or negative – a high impact could be a positive impact on a particular group... Is your proposal likely to impact disproportionately in any way (good or bad) on a particular group?

bau) on a particular group?			T	T
	High Impact	Medium Impact	Low Impact	Don't Know
	(High)	(Medium)	(Low)	(High)
Children/Young People				
Older People (50+)				
Any other age group				
Disability				
Race (including refugees)				
Asylum Seekers				
Gypsies & Travellers				
Religion or (non-)belief				
Sex				
Sexual Orientation				
Gender Reassignment				
Welsh Language				
Poverty/social exclusion				
Carers (inc. Young carers)				
Community Cohesion				
Marriage & Civil Partnership				
Pregnancy & Maternity				

Q4 WHAT ENGAGEMENT / CONSULTATION / CO-PRODUCTIVE APPROACHES WILL YOU UNDERTAKE? Please provide details below – either of your planned activities or your reasons for not undertaking engagement. (Press F1 for guidance – top row on keyboard)

The RTS itself has been the subject of public consultation. The LDP, into which the recommendations are intended to feed, will be the subject of extensive public and stakeholder consulation and engagement at several stages of the plan preparation process, as defined by statutory regulations and national planning policy/guidance.

Q5(a) HOW VISIBLE IS THIS INITIATIVE TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC?			
High Visibility (High)	Medium Visibility (M		Low Visibility (Low)
(1)			
(b) WHAT IS THE POTENTIAL R impacts – legal, financial, p			TATION? (Consider the following tion etc)
High Risk (High)	Medium Risk (Med	um)	Low Risk (Low)
Q6 Will this initiative have an	impact (however minor	on a	ny other Council service?
Yes			No
If <i>Yes</i> , please provide deta			
·			nendations have the potential to
require the LDP to safeguard la			
_			ature of minerals working is such
that it will only be permitted or			se concerning country parks, will
-			
be a factor in any allocation of sites and, as such, there is unlikely to be an impact.			
Q7 HOW DID YOU SCORE? Ple	ase tick the relevant bo	K	
Q3 counts as one despite the large number of groups – use the highest recorded impact when calculating your score.			
This is not an exact science – a high result might not necessarily result in a full EIA report e.g. it may be governed by other legislation or by Welsh Government, resulting in a lack of control at			
our end.	·		
The most important thing is yo	ur answer to Q8	_	
Mostly <u>HIGH</u> and/or <u>MEDIUM</u> → HIGH PRIORI			EIA to be completed.
INIOSTIA IIIOII AIIO/OI INIEDIOINI 7 HIGH PI	vi / montriioniii 7		Please go to Section 2.
Mostly LOW → LOW PRIO	RITY/NOT RELEVANT →		Do not complete EIA.
<u> </u>	.,		Go to Q8 followed by Section 2.

Q8 If you determine that this initiative is not relevant for an EIA report; you must provide a full explanation here. Please ensure that you cover all of the relevant protected characteristic groups. (Press F1 for guidance – top row on keyboard)

For the reasons given, there is unlikely to be an impact on the provision of Council services. Whilst the RTS does not specify a need for Caerphilly to allocate further minerals sites to fulfil its own requirements, it requires the Council to agree a sub-regional position with neighbouring authorities. The safeguarding of land/allocation of sites for minerals working through the LDP, which is intended to take forward the RTS recommendations, will have to be assessed against a full range of social, economic, environmental and cultural considerations through the integrated sustainability appraisal (ISA) process. ISA specifically incorporates EqIA. As a result, equalities considerations will be fully taken into account as part of the site allocation process through the LDP.

SECTION 2

Screening Completed by:	
Name:	Ian Mullis
Job Title:	Planning Officer
Date:	14 October 2020

Head of Service Approval:	
Name:	Rhian Kyte
Job Title:	Head of Regeneration and Planning
Date:	6 November 2020